Tailored Bregman Ball Trees for Effective Nearest Neighbors

Frank Nielsen¹ Paolo Piro² Michel Barlaud²

¹Ecole Polytechnique, LIX, Palaiseau, France ²CNRS / University of Nice-Sophia Antipolis, Sophia Antipolis, France

25th European Workshop on Computational Geometry March 16, 2009 ULB, Brussels, Belgium

Outline

Introduction

Bregman Nearest Neighbor search Bregman Ball Trees (BB-trees)

Improved Bregman Ball Trees

Speeded-up construction Adaptive node degree Symmetrized Bregman divergences

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Experiments

Nearest Neighbor (NN) search

Applications: computer vision, machine learning, data mining, etc.

Nearest neighbor NN(q)

Given:

- ▶ a set $S = \{p_1, ..., p_n\}$ of *n d*-dimensional points
- a query point q
- a dissimilarity measure D

then

$$NN(q) = \arg\min_{i} D(q, p_i)$$
(1)

For asymmetric D (like Bregman divergences):

$$NN_F^{\prime}(q) = \arg\min_i D(q, p_i)$$
 (left-sided)
 $NN_F^{\prime}(q) = \arg\min_i D(p_i, q)$ (right-sided)
 $NN_F(q) = \arg\min_i (D(p_i||q) + D(q||p_i))/2$ (symmetrized)

Bregman divergences D_F

 $F(x) : \mathcal{X} \subset \mathbb{R}^d \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ strictly *convex* and *differentiable* generator

$$D_F(p||q) = F(p) - F(q) - (p-q)^T \nabla F(q)$$
(2)

Bregman sided NN queries are related by Legendre conjugates: $D_{F^*}(\nabla F(q)||\nabla F(p)) = D_F(p||q)$ (dual divergence)

Widely used as distorsion measures between image features:

- Mahalanobis squared distances (symmetric)
 F(x) = Σ⁻¹x (Σ ≻ 0 is the covariance matrix)
- Kullback-Leibler (KL) divergence (asymmetric)

$$F(x) = \sum_{j=1}^d x_j \log x_j$$

Naïve search methods

Brute-force linear search:

- exhaustive brute-force O(dn)
- randomized sampling $O(\alpha dn)$, $\alpha \in (0, 1)$

Randomized sampling

- \blacktriangleright keep a point with probability α
- mean size of the sample: αn
- speed-up: $\frac{1}{\alpha}$
- mean rank of the approximated NN: $\frac{1}{\alpha}$

Data structures for improved NN search

Two main sets of methods:

- mapping techniques (*e.g.* locality-sensitive hashing, random projections)
- tree-like space partitions with branch-and-bound queries (e.g. kD-trees, metric ball and vantage point trees)

- faster than brute-force (pruning sub-trees)
- approximate NN search

Extensions from the Euclidean distance to:

- arbitrary metrics: vp-trees [Yianilos, SODA 1993]
- Bregman divergences: k-means [Banerjee et al., JMLR 2005]

We focus on Bregman Ball trees [Cayton, ICML 2008]

Outline of BB-trees (I)

BB-tree construction

Recursive partitioning scheme

- 1. 2-means clustering (keep the two centroids c_l , c_r)
- 2. Bregman Balls $B(c_l, R_l)$ and $B(c_r, R_r)$ (possibly overlapping)
- 3. continue recursively until matching a stop criterion

Termination criteria:

- maximum number of points *l*₀ stored at a leaf
- maximum leaf radius r₀



Outline of BB-trees (II)

Branch-and-bound search

- $1. \ \mbox{Descend the tree from the root to the leaves}$
 - At internal nodes, choose child whose ball is "closer" to q (the sibling is temporarily ignored)
 - At leaves, search for the NN candidate p' (brute force)
- 2. Traverse back up the tree (check ignored nodes)

• project q onto the ball B(c, R) (bisection search):

$$q_B = \arg\min_{x\in B} D_F(x||q)$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

• if $D_F(q_B||q) > D_F(p'||q)$ the node can be pruned out

Outline of BB-trees (III)

Bregman annuli

Lower/upper bounds to speed-up geodesic bisection search:

 $B(c,R,R') = \{x | R \leq D_F(x | | c) \leq R'\}$



Our main contributions

From BB-tree to **BB-tree++**:

- Speed up construction time (Bregman 2-means++)
- Learn the tree branching factor (G-means)
- Explore nearest nodes first (priority queue)
- Handle symmetrized/mixed Bregman divergences

We mainly focus on *approximate* NN queries (stop the search once a few leaves have been explored)

Speed up construction time

We replace Bregman 2-means by a careful light *initialization* of the two cluster centers [*Arthur et al., SODA 2007*]

Bregman 2-means++

- 1. pick the first seed c_l uniformly at random
- 2. for each $p_i \in S$ compute $D_F(p_i || c_l)$
- 3. pick the second seed c_r according to the distribution:

$$\pi_i = \frac{D_F(p_i||c_l)}{\sum_{p_j \in \mathcal{S}} D_F(p_j||c_l)}$$
(3)

- Good approximation guaranties [Nock et al., ECML 2008].
- Fast tree construction, nice splitting

Learning the tree branching factor (I)

Goal Get as many as possible *non-overlapping* Bregman balls Example Three separated Gaussian samples.



Method

adapt the branching factor bf_i of each internal node

Learning the tree branching factor (II)

G-means

- assume Gaussian distribution of each group of points
- use Bregman 2-means++ inizialization to split a set
- ► apply the Anderson-Darling normality test to the two clusters
- if the test returns true, we keep the center, otherwise we split it into two

repeat for each new cluster

Ongoing work: generalization to *goodness-of-fit* tests for exponential family distributions (e.g. Stephens test).

Handling symmetrized Bregman divergences

Why?

- required by content-based information retrieval (CBIR) systems
- technically are not Bregman divergences

Example: SKL & $JS(p;q) = \frac{1}{2}KL(p||\frac{p+q}{2}) + \frac{1}{2}KL(q||\frac{p+q}{2})$

Proposed solutions:

- symmetrized Bregman centroid of B(c, R): geodesic-walk algorithm of [Nielsen et al., SODA 2007].
- mixed BB-trees: store two centers for each ball B(1, r, R) mixed Bregman divergence [Nock et al., ECML 2008]

 $D_{F,\alpha}(I||x||r) = (1-\alpha)D_F(I||x) + \alpha D_F(x||r), \ \alpha \in [0,1]$ (4)

(for $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$, l = r we find the symmetrized Bregman div.)

Nearest neighbors for Image Retrieval

Task find similar images to a query

- ► S dataset of feature vectors (*descriptors*)
- q descriptor of a query image
- retrieve the most similar descriptor (image) NN(q)

Example SIFT descriptors: [Lowe, IJCV 2005].

Dataset

10,000 images from PASCAL Visual Object Classes Challenge 2007

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- 10,000 database points (for building the tree)
- 2,360 query points (for on-line search)
- dimension d = 1111

Performance evaluation

Approximate search

Find a "good" NN, i.e. a point close enough to the true NN

- explore a given amount of leaves
- from near-exact search to visiting one single leaf

speed-up number of divergence computations (ratio of brute-force over BB-tree++)

- R_{avg} average approximated NN rank
 - NC number of points closer to the approximated NN $(NC=R_{avg}-1)$

BB-tree construction performances

iter number of k-means iterations
 bs maximum number of points in a leaf
 depth maximum tree depth
 depth_{avg} average tree depth
 nLeaves number of leaf nodes

Bb-tree construction ($bs = 50$)					
method	iter	depth	depth _{avg}	nLeaves	speed-up
2-means	10	53	28.57	594	1
2-means++	10	58.33	31.18	647	1.03
2-means++	0	20	10.76	362	19.71

Asymmetric NN queries

BB-tree vs BB-tree++



◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ̄豆 = のへで

Symmetrized NN queries

BB-tree++ vs Randomized Sampling



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Conclusion

BB-tree++:

- adapted to the inner geometric characteristics of data
- speed up construction (k-means careful initialization)
- speed up search (priority queue)
- handle symmetrized Bregman divergences
- promising results for image retrieval (SIFT histograms)

Ongoing work:

- design the most appropriate divergence to a class of data
- extensive application to feature sets arising from image retrieval/classification

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <